

Updates of KMTNet Photometry Pipeline And Systematic Reanalysis of History Events

Hongjing Yang (杨弘靖) Tsinghua University (清华大学)

Supervisor: Shude Mao (毛淑德) Collaborating with: Jennifer Yee@CfA, Qiyue Qian@THU, Weicheng Zang@CfA, Andrew Gould @MPI&OSU, Kyu-Ha Hwang@KASI, and all KMTNet members

> 2024.01.31 26th Microlensing Conference @ Livermore, CA, USA

KMTNet (The Korea Microlensing Telescope Network)



Three 1.6m 4deg² telescopes Nearly continuous observations



Are There Missing Planets?

(Planetary events) / (All events) rate of a KMTNet-like survey

Zhu et al. (2014) simulation: 2.9%

Current KMTNet observation: 1.0~1.5%

Systematics? Are there missed planets? How many?

KMTNet workflow



4

Updates on the TLC Pipeline



5

KMTNet workflow



KMTNet workflow



GOAL 1: Recover false negative anomalies in known events **GOAL 2:** Estimate how many planets are missed in the whole dataset Systematic Reanalysis: Sample Selection

Sample selection: Giant source events

Advantages:

• Bright

=> provide accurate photometry

- Relatively **sensitive** to planets
- **Sensitive** to very wide caustics

Systematic Reanalysis: Sample Selection

Sample selection: Giant source events

Advantages:

• Bright

=> provide accurate photometry

- Relatively **sensitive** to planets
- **Sensitive** to very wide caustics

Criteria



Anomaly Search: Results



Implications



New Anomaly: KMT-2021-BLG-0736



Planet parameters: $\log s = 0.194$ $\log q = -4.0$

=> Clear planet



Implications

GOAL 1: Recover false negative anomalies in known events **GOAL 2:** Estimate how many planets are missed in the whole dataset



4 new planet-like anomalies
2× (clear) planet number:
2/352 ⇒ 4/352

 $2 \times$ planet sensitivity?

In progress

Summary





